

Hal:

Ran this by [REDACTED] who has already reviewed and made some suggestions re last para, which as I recall, suggest specific mention to the disclosure provisions.

I realize that OLC wants to hang on to the general exemption; however, I think it makes a lot of sense for the Director to actively seek an exemption based on sources and methods. Those record systems that warrant protection and do not meet criteria of the specific exemptions in subsection (k), will be exempted on the basis of sources and methods which has statutory foundation. The Director has already laid the ground work and I believe we ought to put this one to bed rather than have Congress tuck us in.

ED  
JEP

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	DATE	INITIALS
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		

ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE

Remarks:

This was drafted  
by [REDACTED] of  
OLC. Views?  
[REDACTED]

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
AI/DDA	6/13

ole 75-7037

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

May 9, 1975

LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM


To: Legislative Liaison Officer  
Central Intelligence Agency

Subject: H.R. 2635, a bill "To amend the Privacy Act  
of 1974"

The Office of Management and Budget would appreciate receiving the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

- ( X ) To permit expeditious handling, it is requested that your reply be made within 30 days.
- ( ) Special circumstances require priority treatment and accordingly your views are requested by

Questions should be referred to Frank Reeder (395-4814) or to William Skidmore (395-4870), the legislative analyst in this office.



William V. Skidmore for  
Assistant Director for  
Legislative Reference

Enclosures

94TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. R. 2635

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FEBRUARY 4, 1975

Ms. ABZUG (for herself, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. FASCELL, Mr. GUDE, Mr. ROSENTHAL, and Mr. JAMES V. STANTON) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Government Operations

---

## A BILL

To amend the Privacy Act of 1974.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2       *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*  
3       That section 3 of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a)  
4       is amended—

5               (a) by striking out subsection (d) (2) (B) (i) and  
6       inserting in lieu thereof: “correct, expunge, update, or  
7       supplement any portion thereof which the individual  
8       believes is not accurate, relevant, legally maintained,  
9       timely, or complete; or”; and

10              (b) by striking all of subsection (j) (1).

I

94<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESS  
1<sup>ST</sup> Session

**H. R. 2635**

---

**A BILL**

---

To amend the Privacy Act of 1974.

---

By Ms. ABZUG, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. FASCELL, Mr.  
GUDE, Mr. ROSENTHAL, and Mr. JAMES V.  
STANTON

---

FEBRUARY 4, 1975

Referred to the Committee on Government Operations